

234

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.1565 of 2019
Date of Decision: 14.10.2019**

Kuldeep Singh Mand

Petitioner

Versus

Kapil Seth, Deputy General Manager, UCO Bank, Chandigarh and
another

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Padamkant Dwivedi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nonish Kumar, Advocate
for the respondents.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 17.12.2018 passed by this Court in CWP No.32278 of 2018.

Reply by way of affidavit has been filed today in the Court, same is taken on record. Copy of reply is handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner. Alongwith the reply, order dated 23.04.2019 has been filed whereby claim of the petitioner has been partly accepted.

Learned counsel for the respondents states that amount for which the petitioner has been found entitled, would be paid within five weeks from today, if not already paid. He further states that

detailed calculation of the amount shall also be provided to the petitioner.

In view of reply filed and statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner states that no cause of action survives for pursuing the contempt petition. However, he seeks liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law for redressal of surviving grievance including, if any, with regard to calculation of amount.

In view of above, contempt petition is disposed of with liberty a prayed for.

It is clarified that if statement made made today in the Court is not adhered to, petitioner would be at liberty to revive the contempt petition. In case, petitioner is forced to do so, exemplary costs may be imposed which shall be personally recovered from the defaulting official.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

October 14, 2019

pankaj baweja

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |