

244

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

COC-1560-2019

Date of decision: 19.09.2019

MANDEEP SINGH

.. PETITIONER

VERSUS

MEENAKSHI DAHIYA

.. RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

**Present:** Mr.Gautam Kailey, Advocate for  
Mr. Ramesh Kumar Dhaniya, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Advocate  
for the respondent.

\*\*\*\*

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The present contempt petition has been filed alleging wilful disobedience of the order dated 07.09.2018 passed by this Court in CWP No. 22669 of 2018. The operative part of the order is reproduced below:

*“In view of above, present writ petition stands disposed of with the directions to respondent No.2 to look into legal notice dated 09.07.2018 (Annexure P12) and take required action thereon, if any, expeditiously and preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.”*

Learned State counsel submits that the needful could not be done with time, as demarcation was to be done. He further submits that a decision on the legal notice would be taken within four weeks from today.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the statement made in Court today, no cause of action survives for pursuing the

contempt petition.

In view of the above, the contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous.

However, the petitioner would be at liberty to revive the contempt petition, in case, the statement made today in Court is not adhered to.

It is further clarified that in case the petitioner is forced to revive the contempt petition, exemplary costs may be imposed which shall be recovered personally from the defaulting official.

**19<sup>th</sup> September, 2019**  
*shabha*

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : *Yes/No*

*Whether reportable* : *Yes/No*