

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-39557 of 2018

DATE OF DECISION:21.01.2019

Mithun Narang @ Micky

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Kumar Passi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, AAG, Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of order dated 12.9.2018, the petitioner has joined the investigation and the same has been affirmed by learned State counsel on instructions from SI Jaspal Singh. However, she opposed grant of bail on the ground of recovery.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that recovery was not effected from the petitioner and the same was effected from co-accused. The petitioner has been implicated in this case on the basis of disclosure statement made by co-accused.

In view of the above, interim order dated 12.9.2018 passed in favour of the petitioner is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

However, the petitioner is directed to join investigation, if required by the Investigating Officer.

January 21, 2019
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No