

Crl. Misc. No. M-39542 of 2018

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-39542 of 2018

DATE OF DECISION:05.03.2019

Shiv Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mrs. Sushma Chopra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Kuldip Sanwal, Advocate and
Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of order dated 11.9.2018, the petitioner has joined the investigation and in other five cases as pointed out by learned counsel for respondent-State as well as complainant, the petitioner has been acquitted, discharged or paid the fine and at present no other case is pending against the petitioner.

Learned counsel for respondent-State on instructions from ASI Gurdial Singh has affirmed the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner.

However, learned counsel for the complainant submits that because of bad conduct of the petitioner, divorce has been granted to one Karanjit Singh @ Bobby.

Since the petitioner has joined the investigation and grant of divorce has no relevancy with the commission of offence in the present case, interim order dated 11.9.2018 passed in favour of the petitioner is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

However, the petitioner is directed to join investigation, if required by the Investigating Officer.

March 05, 2019
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No