

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Date of Decision : 2.4.2019

215 CRM-M-39532-2018 (O&M)

Makhan SinghPetitioner

vs.

State of PunjabRespondent

215-A CRM-M-1718-2019(O&M)

Gurmej Singh @ GejaPetitioner

vs.

State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. C.S.Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-39532-2018.

Mr. K.S.Brar, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-1718-2019.

Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This common order shall dispose of abovesaid two petitions as common facts are involved therein.

The petitioners seek grant of regular bail in case FIR No 110, dated 30.5.2017, registered under Sections 22/25/27-A/28/29 and 30 (Act No. 61 of 1985 Dated 16th September, 1985) of NDPS Act 1985 registered at Police Station City Meharban, District Ludhiana.

Counsel for the petitioners have stated that the petitioner in CRM-M-39532-2018 has been in custody for about 1½ years approximately and the petitioner in CRM-M-1718-2019 has been in custody since 30.5.2017.

Reply dated 29.3.2019 by way of affidavit of Devinder Kumar, PPS ACP (East) Ludhiana has been filed in CRM-M-39532-2018 on behalf of respondent-State, the same is taken on record. Copy has been supplied to the opposite party.

Learned Deputy Advocate General on instructions from ASI Sukhwinder Singh has stated that the recovery is very huge. She further states that 14 official witnesses are remain to be examined and instead of releasing the petitioners on bail, it would be appropriate to put a time cap on the trial. She has stated that the prosecution will lead its entire 14 remaining evidence on or before 22.7.2019 subject to the accused not obstructing the same.

I find this to be a fair submission. Consequently, even while dismissing these petitions, the Trial Court is directed to release the petitioners on bail in case the prosecution fails to conclude its entire evidence on or before 22.7.2019 subject to the accused not obstructing the same.

Since the main cases have been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands dismissed.

2.4.2019
anuradha

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	-	Yes/No
Whether reportable	-	Yes/No