

208

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18718 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: September 06, 2019

Baljinder Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Multani, AAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.0051 dated 12.03.2019, under Sections 420, 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 13 of the Punjab Travel Professional's Regulation Act, 2012, registered at Police Station Lopoke, District Amritsar Rural.

As per prosecution case, petitioner along with her brother, namely Kuldip Singh duped the complainant to the tune of ₹7 lakhs and threatened to kill him.

On 21.05.2019, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that although petitioner has not received any money in this case, but still, she is ready to compensate the complainant by way of an amount of ₹50,000/- subject to final outcome of the present case.

Adjourned to 01.08.2019 for further consideration.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the

investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of her arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit her to interim bail in the present case till the next date of hearing on her furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

However, it is clarified that aforesaid amount of ₹50,000/- shall be paid to the complainant within a period of three weeks from today.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Sub Inspector Tarsem Singh has stated that in terms of order dated 21.05.2019, petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 21.05.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

September 06, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No