

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

1. **CRM-M No. 39422 of 2016**  
**Date of Decision:-07.03.2019**

K.K. Chadha @ Krishan Kumar Chadha

...Petitioner

Versus

Rajpal Bhalla & Sons (HUF)

...Respondent

2. **CRM-M No. 35446 of 2016**

Geeta Chadha

...Petitioner

Versus

Rajpal Bhalla & Sons (HUF)

...Respondent

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ**

Present:- Mr. Rakesh Bhatia, Advocate  
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Saurabh Kapoor, Advocate  
for the respondent(s).

**MANOJ BAJAJ J.(Oral)**

Prayer in these petitions filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for  
quashing of Complaint No.7820 dated 27.7.2016 filed under Section 138 of  
Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 read with Section 420 IPC (Annexure P-

2) and summoning order dated 29.7.2016 passed by the Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Ludhiana (Annexure P-3) in a complaint filed under Section 138 of N.I. Act, titled as 'Rajpal Bhalla & Sons HUF vs. M/s Chadha Motors & others'.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the cheque in question was signed by co-accused, namely, Sumesh Chadha and petitioners are wife and father of the said accused.

At this stage, learned counsel appearing for the complainant states that he has no objection in case the petitions are allowed and proceedings qua these two petitioners are quashed.

It is not in dispute that the summoning order is only for the offence punishable under Section 138 of N.I. Act. In view of the stand adopted by the complainant, these two petitions are allowed and the aforementioned complaint as well as summoning order qua these petitioners are quashed and the proceedings before the trial Court shall continue in respect of accused Sumesh Chadha.

A photocopy of this order be placed in the file of other connected case.

March 07, 2019  
Vijay Asija

( MANOJ BAJAJ )  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether Reportable

Yes / No  
Yes / No