

CRM-M-38553-2017
Date of Decision:14.03.2019

RAM MEHAR **...Petitioner**

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr.Surinder Gandhi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel on the basis of reply dated 11.09.2018 submits that the challan has already been presented and two prosecution witnesses also stand examined and thus, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is not in a position to deny the submissions made on behalf of the State counsel.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

March 14, 2019

MARCH 11, 2019		SECRET
Jyoti-17	Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No	Whether Reportable Yes/No