

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-10839-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 13.11.2019

Dharminder Singh & others

--Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Ravi Kant Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J (Oral)

It has been asserted in the writ petition that the petitioners, who are 11 in number, have been working as Drivers on contractual basis under the respondent-Corporation for various lengths of time ranging from 7 years to 19 years.

Instant petition has been filed seeking issuance of directions that their services be not dispensed with and that they be not replaced by another similar ad hoc/contractual arrangement.

Having heard counsel at length, this Court is of the considered view that no basis for intervention, at this stage, is made out.

The instant writ petition is merely founded on an apprehension. No material/document has been placed on record wherefrom this Court may infer that a contractual employee(s) is sought to be replaced by another contractual employee(s).

No directions, as such, are required to be passed.

Petition is disposed of.

Suffice it to observe that it would be open for the petitioners to seek legal redress in the eventuality of the Corporation initiating any steps to replace the petitioners by other employees on contractual basis.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

13.11.2019

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No