

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-41140 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision: 06.02.2019**

Shyam Phul Bhardwaj and others

..Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajesh K. Sheoran, Addl. A.G., Haryana.
for respondents No.1 to 4 -State.

Mr. Jitender Nara, Advocate
for respondent No.8.

Mr. M.S. Rana, Advocate
for respondent No.10.

Mr. S.P. Chahar, Advocate
for respondent No.12.

Daya Chaudhary, J. (Oral)

The prayer in the present petition is for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 5 to take appropriate action against respondents No.6, 8 to 20 for allotment of residential plots reserved under the Advocates category to respondents No.9 to 20 in a fraudulent manner in Sector 9 Jhajjar by violating all the norms and conditions mentioned in the application form. A further prayer has also been made for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 4 for registration of criminal case against the persons involved in the aforesaid fraud.

.....

Learned State counsel as well as counsel for other respondents submit that the present petition has become infructuous as the FIR has been registered and plot/plots in dispute have been cancelled whereas learned counsel for the petitioners submits that specific allegations are there against respondent No.8 as wrong affidavits were filed.

Keeping in view the specific prayer in the present petition, the present petition is dismissed as having become infructuous as not only FIR has been registered but plot/plots in dispute have also been cancelled.

However, in case, the petitioners are still aggrieved in any manner by the action of respondent-authority or any other respondent, they are at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

06.02.2019
neetu

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No