

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10766-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 9.7.2019

Raj Kumari

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.Munish Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

CM-8387-CWP-2019

For the reasons enumerated in the application, the same is allowed and copy of site plan dated 23.2.2016 is taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

CWP-10766-2019

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of direction to respondent Nos. 2 and 3 to get removed the illegal encroachment in the public street and take legal action against respondent Nos. 4 and 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.3 - Deputy Commissioner, Mohali to consider and decide the complaint/representation dated 12.3.2019 (Annexure P-11) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Ms.Deepali Puri, Addl.AG, Punjab, in the Court today.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 - Deputy Commissioner, Mohali to consider and decide the complaint/representation dated 12.3.2019 (Annexure P-11) in accordance with law within six weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to her, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to her, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

9.7.2019
gsv

[JITENDRA CHAUHAN]
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No