

228

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.1547 of 2019
Date of Decision: 27.09.2019**

Satnam Singh

Petitioner

Versus

Diljit Singh, District Manager, PUNSP, Ludhiana

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Anurag Chopra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. A.D.S. Jattana, Advocate
for the respondent.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The petitioner is a Transport Contractor. A writ petition was filed raising grievance that PUNSUP has not paid the dues for the works having been carried out by the petitioner. The writ petition was disposed of on 07.02.2019 with the directions to PUNSUP to take a final decision on representation dated 05.12.2018 within a period of eight weeks. It was further observed that if any payment is found due to the petitioner, same would be released without any further delay.

In pursuance to the directions of this Court, order dated 11.04.2019 was passed, same is annexed as Annexure P-2 with the contempt petition. As per order, amount of ₹15,17,541/- was due to

the petitioner for paddy crop year 2018-19. There is no dispute on the fact that said amount has been received by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that amount calculated by the respondent is incorrect as the fact has not been considered that work done by the petitioner with regard to certain rice-mills was beyond 8 kilometers.

The said fact cannot be gone into present contempt petition. The directions of this Court were to consider the representation of the petitioner and make payment, if any found due. Those directions have been complied with by the respondent.

In view of above, contempt petition is disposed of.

However, the petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law against order dated 11.04.2019.

Rule issued against the respondent stands discharged.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

September 27, 2019

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No