

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

ever given by petitioner No.1, much less to the Police.

The contents of the representation dated 22.04.2019 (Annexure P-5) has been perused wherein it is mentioned that private respondents are opposed to the marriage whereas it is not disputed by petitioner No.1 that the parents are not even aware of the alleged marriage between them. Petitioner No.1 is unable to disclose any mode and manner of threat allegedly extended by private respondents.

Resultantly, no case is made out for invoking inherent powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

Petition stands dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

24.04.2019
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No