

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10710-2019

Date of decision: 1.5.2019

Dinesh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.VP Sangwan, Advocate for the petitioner

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of direction to respondent No.3 to take appropriate action on the application dated 2.1.2019 moved by the petitioner and remove respondent No.4 from the post of Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Bhandwa being disqualified under the Haryana Panchayati Raj Act, 1994.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 - Director, Panchayats Department, Haryana to consider and decide the application dated 2.1.2019 (Annexure P-4) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr.Vivek Saini, DAG, Haryana in the Court today.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the

case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction respondent No.2 - Director, Panchayats Department, Haryana to consider and decide the application dated 2.1.2019 (Annexure P-4) within six weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

1.5.2019
gsv

[JITENDRA CHAUHAN]
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No