

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-2882-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision : 3.5.2019

Lalita Grewal

..... Petitioner

Versus

Rajbir Kaur and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Gursimran Singh Madaan, Advocate for the petitioner.

KULDIP SINGH, J.

Heard.

Petitioner has impugned the order dated 15.12.2018, passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), NRI Court, Jalandhar, vide which application filed by petitioner-defendant for consolidation of two suits i.e. '*Rajbir Kaur vs. Lalita Grewal and others*' and '*Tejmaan Singh vs. Lalita Grewal and others*' was dismissed.

A perusal of the impugned order shows that the aforesaid two suits i.e. '*Rajbir Kaur vs. Lalita Grewal and others*' for separate possession and permanent injunction and '*Tejmaan Singh vs. Lalita Grewal and others*' for declaration and permanent injunction were pending, which are at different stages. Learned trial Court has observed that both the suits are of different nature and are at different stages. In one suit only preliminary decree on the basis of respective shares is to be passed. The outcome of declaratory suit will not affect the rights of the parties. If any party get the declaration, the shares of such party may increase or decrease as per the judgment.

In view of the fact that both the suits does not meet the parameters laid down for consolidation of the cases, I do not find any illegality or infirmity in the impugned order dated 15.12.2018, passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), NRI Court, Jalandhar.

Dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

3.5.2019
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No