

226
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-38735-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 9.1.2019

Gurnam Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and ors

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. D.S. Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Mandeep Kaur, Advocate for respondents No. 2 and 3.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

In compliance to the order of this Court dated 6.9.2018, report of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Kapurthala, has been received. Learned Magistrate has reported that statements of both the parties i.e. injured namely Joginder Pal and Kamla as well as the accused namely Gurnam Singh, Pardeep Singh @ Sabi, Solowar @ Suba, Lakhvir Chand @ Rinku, Baljinder Singh, Shamsher Singh @ Mangi and Sadhu Ram have been recorded. It is further reported that parties have voluntarily entered into the compromise and the same is genuine.

I am of the view that since parties have effected the compromise, therefore, continuation of the proceedings will be a useless exercise. As such, present petition is allowed and the FIR No. 104 dated 26.11.2017, under Sections 323, 325, 324, 452, 148 and 149 of the Indian

Penal Code, registered at Police Station Begowal, District Kapurthala
alongwith all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioners
stands quashed.

Disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

9.1.2019
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No