

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-18385-2019

Date of decision: 21.05.2019

Bhoop Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Sushil Sheoran, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Himmat Singh, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.147 dated 24.05.2018 under Sections 148, 149, 365 IPC and Sections 323, 342, 452, 367 IPC (added later on), registered at Police Station Bhiwani Civil Lines, District Bhiwani.

While granting interim bail to the petitioner, following order was passed by this Court on 25.04.2019: -

“As per averments made in the petition, anticipatory bail of the petitioner was dismissed by the Additional Sessions Judge on the premise that he has not disclosed that on an earlier occasion, vide order dated 15.06.2018 (Annexure P-2), his first bail application was dismissed. A reference has been made to this order (Annexure P-2) to show that the petitioner was not applicant; rather three other co-accused namely Sandeep, Vicky and Vikas had filed the

said bail application. Reliance is placed on the orders passed in CRM-M-55058-2018, filed by Dharmender and CRM-M-55120-2018, filed by Bijender @ Babla, vide which they were granted the concession of anticipatory bail. Reliance is also placed on the orders passed in CRM-M-44399-2018, CRM-M-42263-2018, CRM-M-44417-2018 and CRM-M-45896-2018, vide which five co-accused namely Krishan Kumar, Balwan, Sandeep, Manjit Singh and Gulab have been granted the concession of regular bail. It is further stated in the petition that no specific role has been attributed to the petitioner.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Sat Narain, has not disputed the factual position and states that the petitioner is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 25.04.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

21.05.2019
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No