

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-38536-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision : 09.07.2019

Daljit Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate for the petitiones.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have approached this Court by way of instant petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short 'Cr.P.C.') invoking its inherent jurisdiction for quashing of FIR No.225 dated 30.09.2016 registered under Sections 380, 454, 448, 148, 149, 506 of the Indian Penal Code ('IPC' for short), at Police Station Cantonment, District Amritsar and the consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

Notice of motion was issued.

In compliance of the order dated 13.03.2019 passed by this Court, the parties got their statements recorded before the learned trial court except Surekha Thakur-petitioner No.2.

As per report also, Surekha Thakur-petitioner No.2 who is

accused has not got her statement recorded qua compromise. However, it is apparent that the complainant-Vikram Singh and other accused Daljit Singh have appeared and admitted the compromise/settlement. The present petition is signed and filed by Surekha Thakur. Hence, statement of Surekha Thakur-petitioner No.2 is not necessary particularly when she has filed this petition seeking quashing of the FIR on the basis of compromise.

A report dated 10.05.2019 along with copy of compromise sent by the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Amritsar, has been received which is available on record of the case along with the statements of the parties. Learned Magistrate has reported that the parties have made their statements voluntarily and without any pressure. The compromise arrived at between the parties has been found to be a genuine one.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and after going through the record of the case, this Court is of the considered opinion that it is a fit case for exercising the inherent jurisdiction of this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C., so as to secure the ends of justice because the parties have arrived at an out of Court settlement by way of compromise. The compromise is without any pressure and a genuine one. In such a situation, continuation of the prosecution would result in sheer abuse of process of law.

For the aforesaid view, this Court finds support from

Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3)

RCR (Criminal) 1052, approved by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**,

Considering the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case noted above, coupled with the reasons aforementioned and to secure the ends of justice, FIR No.225 dated 30.09.2016 registered under Sections 380, 454, 448, 148, 149, 506 of the Indian Penal Code ('IPC' for short), at Police Station Cantonment, District Amritsar and the consequential proceedings arising therefrom are ordered to be quashed, however, qua the petitioners only.

Resultantly, with the above-said observations made, the instant petition stands allowed.

09.07.2019

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No