

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10660-2019

Date of decision: 1.5.2019

Suresh Pal and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.Parminder Singh, Advocate for the petitioners

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of direction to the official respondents to consider the representation dated 10.1.2019 (Annexure P-5) in respect of disqualification rendered by respondent No.4 in the light of Section 175 of the Haryana Panchayati Raj Act, 1994 (for short 'the Act') for warranted penal action under Section 51 of the Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 - Deputy Commissioner, Kurukshetra to consider and decide the representation dated 10.1.2019 (Annexure P-5) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr.Vivek Saini, DAG, Haryana in the Court today.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the

case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 - Deputy Commissioner, Kurukshetra to consider and decide the representation dated 10.1.2019 (Annexure P-5) in accordance with law within six weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

1.5.2019
gsv

[JITENDRA CHAUHAN]
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No