

249

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-18473-2019

Date of Decision : 20.5.2019

Gurjant Singh

..... Petitioner .

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Ms. Narender Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. by the petitioner in which he had claimed that he and Daljeet Kaur-daughter of respondent No.5 wanted to marry each other and at one stage, respondent No.5 had agreed for the marriage but later on he refused and was pressurizing Daljeet Kaur to break her relation with him.

On 10.5.2019 the following order was passed :-

“Learned Sr. Deputy Advocate General states that the police visited the house of the girl and got her statement recorded wherein she stated that she has not been kept against her wishes and that her parents have agreed to get her married with the petitioner.

Counsel for the petitioner points out that had this been so, the girl would have been allowed to talk with the petitioner but as per her information she is still confined to her home.

Adjourned to 20.5.2019.

Let the girl alongwith her parents be present in Court on

the next date.”

Today, aforesaid Daljeet Kaur is present alongwith her parents.

Counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner may be allowed to meet with Daljeet Kaur.

Request has been accepted. Petitioner met with Daljeet Kaur in the Chamber of this Court. After meeting, Daljeet Kaur states that she wants to marry the petitioner. Her father stated that in case his daughter wants to marry the petitioner she should know that this would not acceptable to her parents and they would have no relation with her. The girl states that she is aware of this possibility but she wants to marry the petitioner. Respondent No.5-father of Daljeet Kaur has prayed that petitioner and Daljeet Kaur be asked not to live in the village. On this prayer, they have agreed that they will not live in the village. On her request, she is allowed to leave from the Court premises alongwith the petitioner.

Learned DAG on instructions from ASI Raj Kumar states that both the petitioner and Daljeet Kaur are major.

In the circumstance, the petition is allowed and Daljeet Kaur is allowed to live with the petitioner and the respondents No. 1 to 4 are directed to ensure that no illegal action be taken against them.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending C.M., if any also stands disposed of.

20.5.2019
anuradha

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	-	Yes/No
Whether reportable	-	Yes/No