

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 18707 of 2019
Date of decision : May 09, 2019

Vinay @ Dolla

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Shashikant Gupta, Advocate
for Mr. Parshant Sethi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, DAG Punjab

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.163, dated 20.10.2018, registered under Section 61 of the Punjab Excise Act and Sections 420, 482 of the IPC, at Police Station Bhargo Camp, District Police Commissionerate Jalandhar.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that there is nothing on record to link the petitioner with the alleged offence because neither was he arrested from the spot nor were godown, truck, house and the Activa scooter owned by him. No other case under the Excise Act is pending against the petitioner.

Learned DAG Punjab, on instructions from ASI Vijay Kumar,

has accepted these factual assertions.

In these circumstances, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Resultantly, this petition is allowed and it is directed that in the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on anticipatory bail by the Investigating Officer to his satisfaction subject to the compliance of the provisions as contained in Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending C.Ms, if any, also stand disposed of.

May 09, 2019
'kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No