

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-37374 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: January 16, 2019

Ravi @ Sanata

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG Haryana

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks directions under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for concurrent running of sentences in CRA-S-1141-SB-2012 with CRA-S-1749-SB-2017 and CRA-S-1780-SB-2017.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that vide judgment dated 16.01.2017 passed in CRA-S-1141-SB-2012 sentences of co-accused Sandeep @ Leelu were ordered to run concurrently but same relief was not granted to the petitioner as he had not filed a petition under Section 427 Cr.P.C. Subsequently, vide judgment dated 01.09.2017 passed in CRA-S-1749-SB-2017 and CRA-S-1780-SB-2017 preferred by the petitioner, sentences, subject matter of the said appeals were directed to run concurrently. He prays that since the sentences of co-accused Sandeep @ Leelu has been ordered to run concurrently in all three appeals, the same relief may be granted to the petitioner also.

Learned State counsel submits that the State of Haryana has no objection in case the petition is allowed.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed and it is directed that the sentence

which is the subject matter of CRA-S-1141-SB-2012 shall run concurrently with the sentences subject matter of CRA-S-1749-SB-2017 and CRA-S-1780-SB-2017.

January 16, 2019

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No