

134

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-18002-2019
Date of Decision : 22.4.2019**

Manju Sharma and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Ms. Manisha, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is for issuance of a direction to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and not to harass them at the instance of respondent Nos.4 to 6.

2. Notice of motion.

3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 and a copy of the paper-book has been supplied to him. Mr. Ram Kumar Saini, Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of the respondent No. 5- mother of petitioner No.1 may be allowed to meet petitioner No.1.

4. Request has been accepted. She has met the petitioner No.1 in the Chamber of this Court. Even thereafter the daughter-petitioner No.1 is

adamant that she wants to live with the boy-petitioner No.2. Counsel for the respondent No. 4 to 6 further states that there is mis-statement of the fact in the petition that the petitioner No.2 is unmarried. I find that the petitioner No.2 is barely illiterate and states that he has obtained 'Panchayti divorce'. In the circumstances, I am not able to persuade myself that he has made a false statement intentionally. Moreover, it can also not be lost sight that both the petitioners are major. In support of her proof of age petitioner No.1 has placed on record a copy of Aadhar Card (Annexure P-1) wherein her year of birth is mentioned as 1997 and petitioner No.2 has also placed on record a copy of Aadhar card (Annexure P-2) depicting his year of birth as 1999. They have also moved a representation before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Kaithal (Annexure P-5) for protection of their life and liberty.

5.. Without adverting to the merits of the controversy and validity of marriage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-the Superintendent of Police, Kaithal to take appropriate action on the said representation (Annexure P-5).

6. Since the main case has been decided, the pending Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

22.4.2019
anuradha

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No