

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-10453-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.04.2019

Khem Singh

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. A.B.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J (Oral)

As per pleadings on record, the work for cartage of food grains for district Sangrur (Dhuri Cluster 1, 2 & 3) for the year 2019-2020 was allotted to the petitioner by the District Controller, Food Supplies and Consumer Affairs Department, Sangrur vide memo dated 18.4.2019 (Annexure p-1).

It is the categoric contention made by counsel that in pursuance to Annexure P-1 the requisite deposit was also made by the petitioner and a formal agreement was entered into.

The short grievance raised in the petition is that the local truck operators' associations/unions are deliberately causing hindrance so as to ensure that the petitioner is not able to execute the cartage contract allotted to him.

A Writ of Mandamus is sought for directing respondents no.2 and 3 to take necessary steps so as to ensure that the petitioner is in a position to carry out the cartage contract allotted to him by following procedure as per law.

Having heard counsel for the petitioner at length and without even ascertaining the correctness of the averments made in the petition, I

deem it appropriate to dispose of the same with a direction to respondent no.3 i.e. S.S.P., Sangrur to look into the matter against the backdrop of a representation dated 19.4.2019 (Annexure P-2) that is stated to have been made and to thereafter take steps as he may deem fit and as warranted in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

29.04.2019

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No