

(202) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.203 of 2002
Date of decision: 09.07.2019

Suresh Kumar Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Neeraj Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner was continued in service during the pendency of the writ petition but has since retired, therefore, he does not press the writ petition and the same be disposed of as not pressed with liberty to revive the same, if cause survives. The same is not opposed to by learned Sr. DAG, Haryana.

[2] In view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is disposed of as not pressed with the liberty as prayed for.

(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE

09.07.2019

amit

- | | | |
|------------------------------|---|---------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No. |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes/No. |