

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18109 of 2019

Date of Decision:29.04.2019

Susheel Gupta

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Gurmohan Singh Bedi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Mohan, DAG, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Cr.P.C.) for seeking bail pending trial in case FIR No. 0033 dated 08.08.2018, under Sections 406 and 420 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 66 of the I.T. Act, 2008, registered at Police Station Cyber Crime, Gurugram.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 12.01.2019 and charges have already been framed. Further contends that the trial is likely to take long time, hence he be enlarged on bail.

The above factual position is duly acknowledged by learned State counsel on instructions from the police official present in the Court, but opposed the bail application.

Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper book.

Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 12.01.2019 and charges have already been framed, thus the trial is likely to take a long time to be concluded. Moreover, present case is triable by Magistrate and there is no other criminal case against the petitioner. Thus, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars any more.

Therefore, in view of the abovesaid circumstances, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is accepted. Petitioner-Susheel Gupta be admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE

April 29, 2019
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

yes/no
yes/no