

247

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.37205 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 02.04.2019

Harnoor Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Samaljeet Singh, Advocate
for Mr. I.P.S. Kohli, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of a direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to conduct a fair investigation in the complaint dated 24.09.2017 filed by the petitioner for taking appropriate action against respondents No.4 to 8.

As per the complaint (Annexure P12), the allegations are levelled against the police officials for misusing their powers and demand of bribe on account of the pending investigation in FIR No.105 dated 10.12.2013 registered under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC at Police Station Women Cell, Jalandhar and FIR No.48 dated 06.04.2016 registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 120-B IPC at Police Station Division I, Jalandhar.

Reply by way of affidavit dated 21.04.2018 of the Assistant Commissioner of Police, North, is already on record and as per the reply, it is stated that the marriage of the younger sister of respondent No.8 – Parminder Singh namely Jasmeet Kaur was

solemnized with the petitioner and on account of demand of dowry, she got the aforesaid FIR No.105 dated 10.12.2013 registered against the petitioner. Thereafter, Jasmeet Kaur registered another FIR No.48 dated 06.04.2016 with the allegations that the petitioner has forged here signatures and thereafter, it appears that both the sets of the parties started filing complaint against each other, which were enquired into by the police. It is further stated that thereafter, the police has submitted the report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. in FIR No.48 dated 06.04.2016. It is further stated that the father of the petitioner was found involved in FIR No.114 dated 10.06.2017 under Sections 420/482/182/120-B/506 IPC. The allegations levelled against respondent No.5 for demand of illegal gratification of Rs.5 lacs are specifically denied.

After considering the arguments raised by counsel for the petitioner as well as counsel for the State, I find that the dispute between the petitioner and private respondents are pending in the Court, which is primarily arising out of a matrimonial discord of petitioner with Jasmeet Kaur and the police is conducting the investigation in the same.

Accordingly, finding no ground to issue any further direction in this case, the petition is disposed of.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

02.04.2019
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No