

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-38062 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: January 22, 2019**

Kamal Chug

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr.Sanjiv Kumar Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana
for the respondent-State.

Mr.S.K.Garg Narwana, Senior Advocate with
Mr.Naveen Gupta, Advocate
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.378 dated 10.07.2018 under Sections 406, 420 and 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Gohana City, District Sonipat.

Notice of motion was issued. Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondent No.2 appeared and contested the petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that as per prosecution version, all the

main allegations are levelled against Rajiv Kumar Chug, father of the

petitioner and there is no substantive allegation against the present petitioner. As per the FIR, only a telephonic call was given by the petitioner threatening to complainant to kill him.

The petitioner has already joined the investigation. He is not required for custodial interrogation. Nothing is to be recovered from him. No useful purpose will be served by sending the petitioner to custody.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find it a fit case, where the petitioner is entitled to benefit of anticipatory bail. Therefore, the present petition is accepted and the order dated 04.09.2018 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute.

January 22, 2019
Vgulati

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No