

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 18421 of 2019
Date of Decision: 17.10.2019**

Raj Rani Dhiman

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- Mr. Vinod S. Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana

Mr.J.S. Cooner, Advocate for the complainant.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short 'Cr.P.C') for grant of pre arrest bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 20 dated 20.01.2019, under Sections 406 and 420 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Mahesh Nagar, District Ambala (Haryana).

On 29.04.2019 this court passed the following order:-

*“Contends that the petitioner is not averse for amicable settlement of matter with the complainant.
Let the petitioner as well as complainant namely Chanchal Gupta be relegated to Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court on 02.05.2019 for exploring the possibility of amicable settlement and report in this regard be submitted to this Court on 14.05.2019.
In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of her arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit her to interim bail till the next date of hearing on her furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”*

Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as for the complainant state that the matter has been amicably settled between the parties.

In view of the above, order dated 29.04.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

October 17, 2019

dinesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No