

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-2643-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 03.05.2019

Rajinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

S.E. Haryana Vidyut Parsaran Nigam Ltd. and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. J.P. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

Plaintiff Rajinder Singh had filed a suit for grant of permanent injunction against S.E. Haryana Vidyut Parsaran Nigam Ltd., Karnal and another, praying that defendants/respondents be restrained to erect high voltage electricity line over the fisheries farm of the plaintiff and for issuance of directions to defendants to erect the high voltage electricity line, as per site plan of the year 2016 or to erect the same in some other khasra number of the plaintiff except the khasra number mentioned in the plaint.

On getting notice, the defendants appeared and filed written statement, raising various legal objections, contending that high voltage electricity line was being erected as per the sanctioned site plan prepared by the competent technical staff of the Nigam in the year 2016. The plaintiff had prayed for grant of an ad-interim injunction,

however, that request was declined by the trial Court, vide order dated 26.11.2018. That order was affirmed by Addl. District Judge, Karnal, vide order dated 11.03.2019. The plaintiff felt aggrieved by the orders passed by the Courts below and has filed the instant revision petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the revision petitioner besides going through the record.

The law is well settled that the revisional jurisdiction of this Court is quite limited and is to be exercised only when the order passed by the Courts below is perverse, arbitrary or against the settled principles of law and the illegality or perversity should be apparent on the face of such order. Here nothing of that sort is there with respect to the orders passed by the Courts below. The orders are well reasoned, based upon proper appraisal and appreciation of the factual and legal position and no interference is called for by exercising revisional jurisdiction of this Court. There being no merit in the revision petition, the same stands dismissed.

03.05.2019

sumit.k

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No