

246

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COC-1530-2019

DATE OF DECISION: 18.09.2019

INDER RANI

...PETITIONER

Versus

RAJ KUMAR NAIN

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate for
Mr. Jarnail Singh Saneta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl. AG. Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The present contempt petition has been filed alleging wilful disobedience of the order dated 21.11.2017 passed by this Court in CWP No. 26506 of 2017. The operative part of the order is reproduced below:

“3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to official respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in her representation (P-6) and to decide the same in accordance with law, rules and instructions issued by the Government from time to time, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, in case petitioner still feels aggrieved by any order of the afore-said authority, she shall be at liberty to approach this Court.”

A reply was filed on 11.09.2019 annexing order dated 20.02.2018 with details of payment.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that no cause of action survives for pursuing the contempt petition. However, he seeks liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law against the order dated 20.02.2018.

Disposed of as infructuous with liberty as prayed for.

Rule issued against the respondents stand discharged.

18th September, 2019
shabha

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*