

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 18098 of 2019
Date of decision : May 08, 2019

Malwinder Singh

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Prateek Sodhi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, DAG Punjab

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.11, dated 31.12.2018, registered under Sections 409, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of the IPC and Section 13(1)(a) read with Section 13(2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 2018, at Police Station Vigilance Bureau FS-1, Punjab at Mohali, District SAS Nagar.

On 10.4.2019, in the previous petition filed by the petitioner bearing CRM-M No.14686 of 2019, the following order was passed :-

“ After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for permission to withdraw the present petition.

Allowed as prayed for. The present petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

Since the main case has been dismissed as withdrawn, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands dismissed as such.”

No change of circumstances has been shown. When confronted with the fact mentioned in the aforesaid order, counsel for the petitioner states that that counsel did not have the mandate to withdraw the petition. In my opinion, this argument is misconceived. That petition was not withdrawn at the very outset but was withdrawn when after arguing for some time the counsel realized that the Court was not inclined to grant any relief to the petitioner.

In the circumstances, this petition is dismissed being not maintainable.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending C.Ms, if any, also stand disposed of.

May 08, 2019
'kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No