

105
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
10/4/2019 15:58
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CR-2649-2019 (O/M)
Date of decision : 26.4.2019

Jaipal Petitioner (s)

Versus

Bhatari and others Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- None for petitioner.

-.-

-.-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Case called. None is present for petitioner to assist the Court as members of Bar are abstaining from work.

I have considered the contentions of petitioner and have also gone through the file carefully.

Impugned in present revision is order dated 27.2.2019 (Annexure-P-3), passed by learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division) Kosli, vide which during proceedings for passing of final decree, application was consigned to record room with a direction that once stay granted by High Court is vacated, applicant is free to file fresh application for final decree. It comes out that passing of final decree has been stayed by this Court.

In these circumstances, this Court is of the view that in place of disposing of application, same should have been adjourned sine die and to be taken after stay is vacated by High Court. In these circumstances, impugned order is modified to the extent that application for final decree shall stand adjourned sine die and to be taken whenever stay is vacated by High Court.

Disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

26.4.2019
sjks

Whether speaking order	:	Yes	/	No
Whether reportable	:	Yes	/	No