

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

1. CRM-M-44437-2017

Gulwinder Singh Sandhu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

2. CRM-M-37700-2018

Gulwinder Singh Sandhu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

Date of decision: 27.03.2019

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Puneet Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner in both petitions.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sharad Mehta, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 4 to 12 in CRM-M-44437-2017;
for respondent Nos. 5 and 6 in CRM-M-37700-2018.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

This common order shall dispose of above noted two petitions.

Prayer in the first petition is for issuance of directions to
official respondents for taking appropriate legal action against respondent
Nos. 4 to 12 who have interfered in the possession of the premises of the
school which is owned by the petitioner.

Prayer in the second petition is for issuance of direction official respondents for protecting the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondent Nos. 5 and 6.

In both the petitions, separate replies, filed by way of affidavits of DSP, Sub Division Goindwal as well as SHO, Police Station Chohla Sahib, District Tarn Taran, are already on record. As per replies, it is stated that the dispute is regarding the land qua which the petitioner has already filed a civil suit bearing No. 607 of 2018 against the private respondents in which the Civil Court has passed an order of *status quo* regarding the suit property. It is further stated in the replies that on verification from the revenue record, i.e. Jamabandi for the years 2013-14, the school of the petitioner is situated in the land comprising Khasra No. 713//719 and Khasra No. 720 (3-2), which is in the ownership and possession of the private respondents and in this regard, the statement of the Patwari was also recorded.

Considering the fact that the dispute relates to ownership and possession of a land qua which the petitioner has already filed the aforesaid civil suit, no further orders are called for in the present petitions as the matter is subjudice before the Civil Court.

Petitions stand disposed of.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.

March 27, 2019

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*