

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

206

**Crl. Rev. No. 931 of 2008
Date of decision: 22.10.2019**

Teja Singh

..Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

..Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. G.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J.(ORAL)

The petitioner has challenged the judgments and orders of the Courts below, whereby he has been convicted under Sections 324 and 326 IPC and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of one year and to pay a fine of ₹ 4,000/-.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR is an outcome of the sudden altercation between the petitioner and the complainant. The injuries which were caused to the complainant were on the non vital parts of the body. They were on the index finger of the right hand, thumb, middle finger, ring finger and little finger of the left hand. The complainant has fully recovered from the injuries. He further contends that he is not challenging the conviction and would confine his prayer to the reduction in the quantum of sentence to the period already undergone. The petitioner is facing protracted criminal proceedings for 20 years. He was 40 years of age at the time of incident and is now over 60 years of age. The petitioner has undergone sentence of almost two months. The matter has now been settled with the complainant which has also been recorded in the order of the Appellate Court.

Learned State counsel has filed custody certificate of the petitioner in Court, which indicates that the petitioner has undergone sentence of 01 month and 28 days out of the sentence of 01 year.

Mr. Manbir Singh Basra, Advocate puts in appearance on behalf of the complainant and states that the matter has now been compromised and the complainant has no objection if the period of sentence is reduced to the that already undergone. The complainant has fully recovered from the injuries.

Heard.

The incident is stated to have taken place on 02.11.1998 and the petitioner is, therefore, facing criminal proceedings for over two decades. He is over 60 years of age. The injuries were on the non vital parts of the body of the complainant. The matter has been compromised and ever since the incident there has been no altercation between them. They are residing amicably in their village.

While maintaining the conviction sentence is reduced to the period already undergone.

With this modification, the petition stands disposed of.

October 22, 2019
Poonam Sharma

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No