

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.10423 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision:13.05.2019

Ravinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

The Deputy Commissioner-cum-District Magistrate, Amritsar and anr.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Vivek Salathia, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(Oral)

As per pleadings on record, petitioner was issued an arms licence bearing No. 179/P.S. Lopoke/DM/ASR/97 of DBBL 12' Gun bearing no.68567D/7Y-95 in the year 1995.

Petitioner thereafter was involved in a criminal case bearing FIR No.231 dated 08.12.2001 under Sections 302/326/325/323/336 and 341 IPC registered at Police Station Lopoke, Amtirsar. In the trial that ensued petitioner earned acquittal vide judgment dated 06.06.2006, passed by the Trial Court. It has been averred that since no appeal had been filed against the judgment of acquittal an application was made by the petitioner for return of the fire arm as also the arms licence. The competent Court vide order dated 11.10.2006 directed the concerned SHO to release the Fire Arm against receipt.

Case of the petitioner is that the petitioner thereafter had applied for renewal of the licence and the same was renewed four times over and carrying validity till 03.08.2018.

The short grievance raised in the petition is that an application dated 11.06.2018 seeking further renewal is pending before the Deputy Commissioner-cum-District Magistrate, Amritsar and no final decision thereupon has been taken.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sahil Sharma, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2 and waives service. Complete copy of the writ paper-book also stands furnished to him.

Mr. Sahil Sharma, DAG, Punjab, makes a statement that in case the application of the petitioner seeking renewal of his arm licence is pending before the Deputy Commissioner-cum-District Magistrate, Amritsar, the same would be duly processed in accordance with law and a final decision thereupon would be taken expeditiously and in any case within a period of six weeks from today and would be conveyed to the petitioner.

In the light of such statement recorded of the learned State counsel, no further directions are required to be passed.

Writ petition is disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

May 13, 2019

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No