

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

109

CRM-M-17981 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 08.05.2019

Bharti Dod and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Ms. Dolly Shivani, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sanjay Kumar Saini, AAG, Haryana.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

On 23.04.2019, the following order was passed:-

“Bharti Dod and Prince, the petitioners before me, claim themselves to be major. They have pleaded that they have married against the wishes of respondent Nos.4 and 5 and seek protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondent Nos.4 and 5. They have placed on record photographs Annexure P-4 evidencing their marriage. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 13.04.2019, Annexure P-5 to respondent No.2.

Mr. Karan Sharma, AAG, Haryana, appearing for the State of Haryana is requested to submit a status report. A copy of the petition be supplied to the State counsel during the course of the day.

In the meantime, respondent No.2 would examine the threat perceptions and if found necessary, interim protection would be provided to them. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

Adjourned to 29.04.2019.”

Counsel appearing for the State of Haryana has submitted that the reply by way of affidavit of Pawan Kumar, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Kalka has been filed. Along with the affidavit, copies of the statements of Devraj, Gurpiari and Jatin have been recorded who have stated that now they do not have any objection to the marriage and they shall not interfere in the married life.

It has been noticed that an FIR has been registered under Section 346, IPC at the behest of Devraj, father of the girl. The petitioner No.1 is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 13.05.2019 and give her statement enabling the Investigating Officer to take further steps.

In view of the status report filed by learned State counsel, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. However, the petitioners would be at liberty to file a fresh petition, if in future, there is any threat to their life and liberty.

08.05.2019

Dinesh Bansal

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No