

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-17909-2019
Date of decision: 15.05.2019**

Lakhbir Kaur and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sidakmeet Sandhu, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Poonam Tara, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioners pray for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.77 dated 23.03.2019 under Sections 323, 452, 427, 506, 295-A, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar, District Police Commissionerate Amritsar.

However, while issuing notice of motion on 23.04.2019, the petition qua Lakhbir Kaur petitioner No. 1 was dismissed as withdrawn as learned counsel for the petitioners did not press the same qua him.

The operative part of the order dated 23.04.2019, vide which petitioner Nos. 2 and 3 have been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that as per allegations in the FIR, primary allegations are against petitioner No.1, who is granddaughter-in-law of the complainant and petitioners No.2 & 3 are parents of petitioner No.1 and therefore, they have been roped in the present case. Notice of motion for 15.05.2019. At this

stage, Ms. Poonam Tara Prasher, Advocate has accepted notice on behalf of the complainant. In the meantime, petitioners No.2 & 3 are directed to appear before the Investigating Officer within a period of 10 days to join investigation and they will be released on interim bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds subject to the following conditions:- 1. They will make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required; 2. They will not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer; and 3. They will not leave India without previous permission of the Court.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner Nos. 2 and 3, in pursuance to the order dated 23.04.2019, have already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and have joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Dilbagh Singh, has not disputed the factual position and submits that petitioner Nos. 2 and 3 have joined the investigation and are no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to petitioner Nos. 2 and 3, vide order dated 23.04.2019, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

15.05.2019

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No