

212

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-17761 of 2019

Date of decision: July 10, 2019

Anuj Kumar and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. B.S. Khehar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, DAG Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, in FIR No.18 dated 11.01.2019, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 326 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station City Jagadhri, District Yamuna Nagar.

Allegations against the petitioners are that petitioners armed with *dandas*, *bindas*, swords and iron rods attacked the complainant.

On 22.04.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that the matter has been compromised between the parties and a reference is made to Annexure P4.

Notice of motion for 10.07.2019.

In the meanwhile, petitioners are directed to join the

investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of their arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit them to interim bail till the next date of hearing on their furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioners are also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Assistant Sub Inspector Mehroof Ali, has submitted that the petitioners have joined investigation in terms of order dated 22.04.2019 and their custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 22.04.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioners, is made absolute subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioners shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

July 10, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: **Yes**

Whether Reportable: **No**