

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-17688-2019

Date of decision: 23.05.2019

Gurpal Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Harsh Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ramandeep Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.0050 dated 15.03.2019 under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 IPC, registered at Police Station City Sangrur.

While granting interim bail to the petitioner, following order was passed by this Court on 18.04.2019: -

“.....Counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the allegations in the FIR, the dispute is regarding the partnership between the petitioner and the complainant as the earlier partner Sukhdev Singh, who was running the Australian Institute with the complainant had withdrawn from the partnership on 10.05.2018. It is further submitted that in fact the institute was run in a rented

accommodation and on 11.05.2018, the land owners entered into a fresh agreement with the petitioner and on that account, the complainant has raised a dispute, which is a primarily a civil dispute.....”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Jagseer Singh, has not disputed the factual position and states that the petitioner is no more required for any further investigation.

Learned counsel for the complainant has however submitted that the petitioner has forged the signatures of the complainant on a stamp paper regarding withdrawal from the partnership on 10.05.2018, however, he could not dispute the fact that on 11.05.2018, a new rent agreement was entered into by the land owners in favour of the petitioner regarding the premises, on which an institute was run and the complainant has not challenged the said rent agreement.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 18.04.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

23.05.2019
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No