

211

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-17713 of 2019

Date of decision: July 10, 2019

Gurpreet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Ashok Giri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Khurana, DAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in FIR No.184 dated 01.11.2018, under Sections 22/29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Division No.5, District Police Commissionerate Jalandhar.

Allegation against the petitioner is that he was arrested by the police on 01.11.2018 alongwith contraband, i.e. 1700 intoxicating capsules while carrying in a polythene bag.

On 22.04.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that due to some unavoidable circumstances, petitioner could not appear on 10.04.2019 and that led to the cancellation of his bail bonds and

surety bonds.

Notice of motion for 10.07.2019.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join the proceedings before the learned trial Court and in the event of his surrender, he be released on interim bail till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to its satisfaction.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that petitioner has joined proceedings before learned trial Court on 26.04.2019 and the same is duly acknowledged by learned State counsel, on instructions from Assistant Sub Inspector Jagtar Singh.

In view of the above, order dated 22.04.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

July 10, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: **Yes**

Whether Reportable: **No**