

CRM-M-17675-2019

Date of decision: April 18, 2019

Reena and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWANPresent:- Mr. Sunny Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioners.**ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)**

The petitioners have approached this Court seeking protection of their life and liberty on the premise that petitioner No.1 has performed marriage with petitioner No.2 against the wishes of her parents and other family members and the petitioners are apprehending threat to their life and liberty at their instance.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Baljinder Singh Virk, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Let a complete set of the paper-book be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that a representation dated 15.4.2019 (Annexure P-5) was made to the Superintendent of Police, Charkhi Dadri seeking necessary protection but no action has been taken so far in the matter.

Heard.

Without examining the question of validity or otherwise of marriage of the petitioners, the present petition stands disposed of with a direction to the Superintendent of Police, Charkhi Dadri to look into the grievance of the petitioners expressed in the representation (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate action, if any, warranted in the circumstances.

The Superintendent of Police, Charkhi Dadri is further directed to arrange a meeting of respondent No. 5 with petitioner No.1 in case any such application is moved.

It is made clear that in case any FIR is registered against petitioner No.2, it will be open for the police authorities to take action, in accordance with law.

April 18, 2019
satish

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No