

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3719-2018

Date of decision:10.07.2019

IQBAL SINGH & ORS

.....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Harshit Jain, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, AAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Bikramjit Singh.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.9 dated 18.1.2016 under Sections 307, 427, 148, 149 IPC & Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act, Police Station Sadar Dhuri, District Sangrur and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of a compromise having been effected between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 12.03.2018 the parties had been directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate so as to get the statements recorded with regard to the genuineness of the compromise.

3. Report of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Dhuri has been received wherein it has been reported that statements of the petitioners as well as of complainant-Sultan Singh & injured-Satnam Singh @ Kaka have been recorded who have stated that they have compromised the matter and that complainant has no objection if the FIR is quashed. It has specifically been opined by the learned Magistrate that the compromise is genuine and has been effected voluntarily without any pressure or coercion.
4. In view of the aforesaid compromise and bearing in mind the law laid down by Full Bench of this Court in 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052 Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, the petition is allowed and FIR No.9 dated 18.1.2016 under Sections 307, 427, 148, 149 IPC & Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act, Police Station Sadar Dhuri, District Sangrur and all the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

10.07.2019
Gaurav Sorot

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking? Yes / No

Whether reportable? Yes / No