

**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
At Chandigarh**

CRM-M-17558-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-9.9.2019

Pukhraj ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Singh Choudhary, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered vide FIR No.65 dated 15.2.2019 at Police Station Mahendergarh, District Mahendergarh under Sections 498-A, 323, 342, 406, 506 and 34 of Indian Penal Code.
2. The FIR was registered at the instance of Ekta, wherein it has been alleged that she was married to the petitioner on 12.2.2016 and that a large number of gifts and gold ornaments were given alongwith cash, at the time of marriage, to the petitioner as well as to other members of his family. However, the accused were not happy with the same and used to harass the complainant in order to press upon their demand of more dowry. Ultimately, the complainant was thrown out of her matrimonial home by the accused while issuing threats to kill her and to send her father to jail.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case and that the entire allegations pertaining to demand of dowry etc. have all been cooked up.
4. Opposing the petition, the learned State counsel has submitted that since petitioner is the main accused and the husband of the complainant, no case for grant of bail is made out. It has also been informed that although the petitioner has joined investigation but certain articles of jewellery are yet to be recovered. It has also been informed that investigation has concluded and that challan has already been filed.
5. I have considered rival submissions addressed before this Court.
6. Apparently, it is a case which has been arisen out of matrimonial discord. Since, the investigation has already been concluded wherein the petitioner has joined investigation and the challan has already been filed, it is not a case warranting custodial interrogation at this stage. The petition, as such, is accepted and the interim directions issued by this Court vide order dated 16.4.2019 are hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner shall appear before the Trial Court regularly and abide by all such conditions as may be imposed by Trial Court.

9.9.2019

pankaj

**(Gurvinder Singh Gill)
Judge**

Whether speaking /reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No