

CR No.2638 of 2019
Date of Decision: 6.9.2019
.....Petitioner

Harnek Singh

Versus

Parksah Singh and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAURPresent: Mr. J.S. Cooner, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Shoaib Khan, Advocate, for the respondents.**NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (ORAL)**

The present revision petition has been filed against the order dated 25.2.2019, vide which, application seeking issuance of direction for preparation of Intakhab was dismissed as well as the evidence of the petitioner-plaintiff was closed.

Normally this Court would have granted one last opportunity to lead the evidence as prayed but for the facts, which have been brought to the notice of this Court by learned counsel for the respondent, which are not denied.

Admittedly, the petitioner-plaintiff availed as many as 16 opportunities to conclude his evidence. In fact at one stage, the matter was even dismissed in default.

In these circumstances, this Court is of the opinion that the present application is only to further stretch the litigation as in any case the Intakhab is not admissible per se without oral evidence. It is not understood as to why the same was not moved at the initial stage. No explanation is coming forward.

Dismissed accordingly.

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE**6.9.2019**

sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No