

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.19986 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision.23.07.2019

Rakesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Vs

Haryana Staff Selection Commission and others

..Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Manish Mehta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

:-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

Prayer in present petition is as follows:-

*“Civil Writ Petition under Article 226/227 of the
Constitution of India for:-*

*Issuance of writ in the nature of Mandamus for
summoning the record of the case and for directing the
respondents to decide the representation filed by the
petitioner and to direct them to correct the particular
from BCA to BCB category in the application form filled
by the petitioner for the posts of Group-D of various
departments, boards and corporations advertised by the
respondents against the advertisement No.4/2018
category No.1.*

*Issuance of any other writ, order or direction
deemed suitable in the eyes of this Hon'ble Court.*

Interim prayer

*During the pendency of the present writ petition
the proceedings of selection/appointment in posts of
Group-D of various departments, boards and
corporations against the advertisement No.4/2018
category No.1, may kindly be ordered to be stayed.”*

Case of petitioner is that in pursuance to advertisement

dated 26.08.2018, Haryana Staff Selection Commission invited applications for direct recruitment to Group D posts in various departments, boards and corporations. Last date for submission of applications was 18.09.2018. Petitioner applied under BCA Category but actually he belonged to BCB Category. Petitioner moved an application (Annexure P-3) dated nil but affidavit reveals date as 10.12.2018 for rectification of aforementioned mistake. Examination was held on 17.11.2018 and declared result on 19.01.2019. Petitioner in fact belongs to BCB category but no action has been taken on representation of petitioner.

I have heard learned counsel for petitioner, appraised paper book and of view that it is too late in a day for seeking relief aforementioned. Petitioner should have come to court with promptitude in case his representation was not accepted within two days of the application, owing to the fact that examination was already ended. Even entire selection process is over now. Though certain writ petitions are pending with regard to veracity of answer key but that would not be relevant for adjudication of grievance as noticed above in the writ petition.

No ground for interference is made out.

Dismissed.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

July 23, 2019
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes

Whether reportable No