

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36442 of 2016
Date of Decision:04.07.2019

Satish Kumar		Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana and another		...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Jitender Dhanda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Baljeet Nain, Advocate for
Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of the order dated 14.09.2016 passed by learned Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra.

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after conclusion of the trial respondent No.2-Mursaleen has been acquitted by learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Kurukshetra vide judgment dated 02.06.2017 and the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

Disposed off in the above terms.

**[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE**

July 04, 2019
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned	yes/no
Whether reportable?	yes/no