

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 17801 of 2019
Date of Decision:-22.07.2019**

Iqbal Singh @ Harpal Singh @ Pal Singh @ Bhagat Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ J.(Oral)

Petitioner Iqbal Singh @ Harpal Singh @ Pal Singh @ Bhagat Singh has filed the present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail pending trial in case FIR No.59 dated 10.6.2018, under Section 307 read with Section 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Mehna, District Moga. The petitioner is in custody since the day of arrest on 10.6.2018.

The FIR was registered on the complaint of Shinderpal Kaur to the effect that on 07.6.2018 at about 6.00/6.30 pm when she was present in her house alongwith her son Dilbag Singh and daughter Baljit Kaur, then their neighbour, namely, Iqbal Singh @ Pal (petitioner) son of Ujagar Singh was standing in front of their house. When she prevented him from

standing in front of their house, accused Iqbal Singh @ Pal (petitioner) started abusing them. In the meantime, Charanjit Singh @ Charna, brother of accused/petitioner started shouting that his brother would stand in front of their house in future also. Then Gurdev Kaur @ Debo, mother of accused/petitioner also came there and started abusing the complainant side. On hearing the noise, daughter of complainant, Baljit Kaur and son Dilbag singh came out from the house. Meanwhile, accused Iqbal Singh @ Pal gave Kirch blow in the stomach of complainant Shinder Pal Kaur with intention to kill her. Gurdev Kaur @ Debo and accused Charanjit Singh @ Charna also manhandled complainant Shinder Pal Kaur. On these broad allegations, the FIR against the accused persons was registered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that only one Kirch blow is attributed to the petitioner. It is also pointed out that the complainant/injured has already been examined. It is further contended that the trial will take a sufficient time in its conclusion and no useful purpose would be served by putting the petitioner behind the bar.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, who is assisted by ASI Ramesh Kumar has opposed the prayer on the ground that the offence is serious, however, it is not disputed that the complainant/injured has already been examined.

Considering the above, the custody period and the fact that the trial is likely to consume some time, no useful purpose will be served by detaining the petitioner any further in custody. Resultantly, without commenting anything upon merits of the case, the petitioner is ordered to be

released on regular bail subject to his furnishing the requisite bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

The petition is allowed.

July 22, 2019

Vijay Asija

(MANOJ BAJAJ)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No