

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 10333 of 2019
Date of decision :22.04.2019

Om Parkash and others

...Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. B.K. Bagri, Advocate for the petitioners.

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

The petitioners are seeking direction to the respondents to give them benefit of increment from the date they were working on the post of Head Master. In para 2 of the writ petition, the petitioners have given details of their service.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has referred to the judgment passed in *CWP-19940-2013 Raj Singh V/s. State of Haryana and others* (Annexure P-3) seeking the same benefit and during the pendency of this petition, relief was granted by the State and this petition has been dismissed as having been rendered infructuous. He has further referred to a Division Bench judgment passed in *CWP-18303-1998 Veena Kumari V/s. State of Haryana* 2000(4) S.C.T. 1038 and *LPA-37-2017 State of Punjab and others V/s. Jagjit Singh and others* 2018(4) S.C.T. 549.

A detailed legal notice dated 29.10.2018 (Annexure P-4) seeking the benefit of increment under Punjab Civil Services Rules (Volume 1) Rule 4.4(a) and the judgment passed by this Court in *CWP-19940-2013 Raj Singh V/s. State of Haryana and others*, has also been sent.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana

accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents. A copy of the paper-book has been supplied to him.

Without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is being disposed of by giving direction to respondent No. 2 to pass a speaking order on the legal notice dated 29.10.2018 (Annexure P-4) within a period of six months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

22.04.2019
Divyanshi

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No