

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

215

CRM-M-17499-2019

DATE OF DECISION: 22.08.2019

ANOJ KUMAR

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. V.P. Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR 387 dated 28.07.2018, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC and Section 66 of IT Act, registered at Police Station Sector-40, District Gurugram.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations against the petitioner are that he was working as a middleman and taking money from consumers on behalf of officials of the Electricity Department. He, however, contends that the petitioner is running a tea stall and the co-accused, who are officials of the Electricity Department, have already been granted the benefit of anticipatory bail.

This Court, by the order dated 06.05.2019, had directed the petitioner to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Anil Kumar, states that the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner and the petitioner having joined investigation, the order dated 06.05.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. He shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

22.08.2019

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No